

Appl. No. : 09/991,378
Filed : November 21, 2001

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner made restriction of examination of the application to one of four species. More particularly, the Examiner identified: Species I, drawn to an interferometric modulator display embodiment comprising a time keeping digital watch; Species II, drawn to an interferometric modulator display embodiment comprising a portable/personal digital assistant; Species III, drawn to an interferometric modulator display embodiment comprising a household appliance, and Species IV, drawn to an interferometric modulator display embodiment comprising a motor vehicle. The Examiner required Applicant to elect one of the four species for prosecution on the merits.

In response to the Examiner's requirement for election of species, which is the only point raised in the Office Action, the Applicant provisionally elects Species IV for consideration by the Examiner. Applicant submits that claims 55-58, 64-67, and 70-71 are generic.

Since the Applicant has responded to the requirement for election of species for prosecution, the Applicant submits that the application is now in order for action on the merits. If the Examiner finds any impediment to the prompt allowance of the claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to initiate the same with the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 5/16/2006

By: 

Eric M. Nelson
Registration No. 43,829
Attorney of Record
Customer No. 20,995
(619) 235-8550

2588892:050906